

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER


AUG 28 2007

FOR OBLIGATORY NORMAL REGISTRATION : Appl. 10/505353 (PCT/EP02/02302) Fax: 15712738300.
TO STOP GOVERNING BIG BROTHER (accusation).

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria
 Virginia, 22313-1450 USA

Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe, 75010
 Paris France
 Paris, 28th August 2007.

Mr. Jon Dudas (personally), Under Secretary for Intellectual Property, Director of USPTO [copies to Jon Doll (Commissioner for Patents), Margaret Focarino (Deputy Commissioner for Patent Operations), Jay Lucas (Deputy Commissioner for Examination and PCT Cooperation), Margaret Peterlin (Deputy Under Secretary and Deputy Director), Lois Boland (Director of Office of International relations), John Love (Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy), John Whealan (Deputy General Counsel for Intellectual Property Law and Solicitor).

Dear Sir, Accused CRIME against Humanity and High Brigandage go again farer! Already TWO NEW Examiners **RAPIDLY** appointed by you (instead of BOTH previous ones, who made sure accused intentional CRIME, wherein ALL "Examination" made by such accused sure INTENTIONAL CRIMINALS is completely illegal) logically falsify such your Decision. Your Decision must be made according ONLY 37 CRF 1.520, because there is no other REEXAMINATION with change of Examiners without special FEES. Moreover, in title of sent (Firstly by new Examiners AS CONTINUATION OF EXAMINATION) very special Form [PTO-90C (REV.04-03)], it is specially written "PATENT IN REEXAMINATION" and as preface, it is written exceptionally (nothing in any such other Forms) with thick letters "Commissioner for Patents" [to underline that communication is under his HIGHEST decision and protection (exceptionally, by his name)]. So obviously, any Examiner (moreover simple) cannot cancel (it is simply illegal, null and void) the very special compelling collegial decision of you and Top of Top of USPTO. All above must mean that even such (37 CRF 1.520) specially appointed Examiner(s) must LIE that nothing was changed with CRIMINALLY intentional "Examination", made by proven CRIMINALS (accusations). It had to be only forcing of Big Brother, considering themselves as Biggest Bosses in USPTO. By name of Law, I ask you to punish all accused falsifiers and to cancel false "Notice of abandonment", that is a priori illegal (null and void) at present collegial compelling decision of TOP Direction of USPTO about REEXAMINATION (37 CRF 1.520). Critics of evident re-examination, but this time with obligatory concretizations (not coinciding with accused criminal imaginations) (otherwise it is penal) must come. It is shame for dishonest Governmental Office, wherein again only due to chance I can prove heavy CRIMES (including that against Humanity) simply as "for boys" (accusations). Sincerely yours Dr.Y.Zagyansky 

P.S. (1) Very intentionally and selectively USPTO does not want to precise its Legislation, concerning, justly, "Notice of abandonment", that could be the proven intentional CRIME in the positive (for Applicant) case. Since \$5 of Covering Letter (11/08/2004), in spite of my numerous repeating in my BOTH Applications, USPTO does not answer "Must USPTO send final registered letter if there is no Applicant's answer. Because in 37 CRF §1.8 (§512 MPEP) "Certificate of mailing or transmission" there is no mention about even Declaration for nonreceiving of only USPTO (USPTO!) letters, that normally had to take place if there were USPTO's registered letter. Because normally numerous witnesses of it as Patent agents with Jurists could be asked to declare on honour. To pay \$1000 for post loss (or unsent?: see justly above) letter without ANY guiltiness must be surely impossible as law. Again, please to clarify such dark point in Legislation. Persistent intentional silence could be proven intentional crime in the positive (for applicant) case.

P.S. (2) MY sure ANSWER OF 04/12/2007 was completely ignored: already "not for boys", so I simplified "for boys", although both ways are sure.

P.S. (3) They afraid REEXAMINATION because it is 100% good Patent. This is simply: "TO BE PATENTED, INVENTION AS A WHOLE MUST BE NEW, INVENTIVE and UTILE AND ALSO MUST BE TECHNICAL (IT MEANS ONLY MATERIAL, FROM REAL WORLD). And Forms of Claims were taken exactly from "PCT Guidelines". It is sure for revolutionary practical Innovations based on Scientific (Natural) Theory that must be Patented with its Applications (§9.05 "PCT Guidelines").

* (IF THERE WERE NO USPTO'S registered letter) 